Introduction to Cryptography

Vincent Migliore

vincent.migliroe@insa-toulouse.fr

INSA-TOULOUSE / LAAS-CNRS

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Présentation de la séquence pédagogique [1]

Couverture des enseignements

- 1. Cryptographie et son positionnement: Son passé, son présent et son futur.
- 2. L'échange de données sécurisées (chiffrements symétriques).
- 3. Le contrôle d'intégrité (Hashage) et l'authentification (AEAD).
- 4. La génération d'aléa cryptographique et les chiffrements à flots.
- 5. L'échange de clé (Accords de clés et chiffrements asymétriques).
- 6. Introduction aux infrastructures modernes (PKI, toile de confiance).

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Présentation de la séquence pédagogique [2]

Les travaux pratiques

- 1. Étude d'une attaque par corrélation sur AES.
- 2. Lorsque la micro-architecture piège la cryptographie.
- 3. Les enclaves matérielles: comment cacher de l'information au système d'exploitation? Limites?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

4. Mise en place d'une PKI.

Présentation de la séquence pédagogique [3]

Modalités d'évaluation

Évaluation en fin de séquence par des questions courtes à choix multiples.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

What is cryptography?

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ▲□▶

Brief introduction to cryptography

Definition

Cryptography is the science of *writing* secrets. It is correlated to the evolution of civilizations that are organized as tribes, kingdoms, countries...

$Cryptology \neq Cryptography \neq Cryptanalysis$

• Cryptology is the science of secret (so a much larger domain than cryptography).

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

• Cryptanalysis is the science to break secret.

Brief introduction to cryptography

Definition

Cryptography is the science of *writing* secrets. It is correlated to the evolution of civilizations that are organized as tribes, kingdoms, countries...

$Cryptology \neq Cryptography \neq Cryptanalysis$

- Cryptology is the science of secret (so a much larger domain than cryptography).
- Cryptanalysis is the science to break secret.

Question

For each item, determine if it is the scope of Cryptology, Cryptography, Cryptanalysis or none of them:

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- 1. Locking a door with a key.
- 2. Play poker.
- 3. Steganography.
- 4. Connection to Facebook.

Brief introduction to cryptography

Definition

Cryptography is the science of *writing* secrets. It is correlated to the evolution of civilizations that are organized as tribes, kingdoms, countries...

$Cryptology \neq Cryptography \neq Cryptanalysis$

- Cryptology is the science of secret (so a much larger domain than cryptography).
- Cryptanalysis is the science to break secret.

First known use of cryptography

About 4,000 years ago, Egyptians used hieroglyphs to communicate. Traduction where only known by scribes, civil servants of the monarque, influential persons that are very close to the power.



Question How hieroglyphs had been decrypted in *XIX^e* century?

Question How hieroglyphs had been decrypted in *XIX^e* century?



Rosetta Stone

Discovered in 1799, decree edicted in 196 before Jesus-Christ and is written in both Ancient Egyptian and Ancient Greek.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで



Caesar Cipher



Also known as shift cipher, symbols are just shifted. Is it secure? Why?

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@



Caesar Cipher



Also known as shift cipher, symbols are just shifted. Knowing the language, cipher weak to frequency analysis.



(Blaise de) Vigenère Cipher



Vigenère cipher is combinaison of caesar cipher plus a variable shift provided by a secret phrase. Defeat simple frequency analysis since a letter may be encrypted by different symbols for one ciphertext.occ



(Auguste) Kerckhoffs principles



Military cryptographier. Shift from ad-hoc solutions to mathematical solutions.

- The system should be, if not theoretically unbreakable, unbreakable in practice.
- The design of a system should not require secrecy, and compromise of the system should not inconvenience the correspondents.



Modern cryptography

- Major improvements in terms of mathematical background.
- Industrialization of calculators ⇒ security based on computational complexity.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

• Next major step in cryptography? Post-quantum calculator.

Why learning cryptography?

To limit the risk of ...

 poor implementation or utilization (vulnerabilities on protocols, poor random numbers generation);

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- bad utilisation (hardcoded nonces, passwords stored in plaintext servers-side);
- Hardware limitations (side-channels, faults injection).

Why we must keep learning cryptography ? Because ...

• Vulnerabilities/attacks continuously evolve.

Current cryptography: Highly standardized

Before standardization

- Algorithms are subject to a competition.
- During the competition, crypto community tries to break the algorithms.
- If community fails, then the algorithm is considered secure.
- There is almost 40 years that community tries to break RSA, and 20 years for AES.

Actors of standardization

• For cryptographic algorithm standardization: National Institute of Standards and Technology. Current competition, Post-Quantum cryptography.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- For internet protocols (TCP/IP in particular) standardization: Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF).
- For specific domains standardization: ISO. Example: ISO/IEC 29192 for IoT.

Current cryptography: Highly standardized

Before standardization

- Algorithms are subject to a competition.
- During the competition, crypto community tries to break the algorithms.
- If community fails, then the algorithm is considered secure.
- There is almost 40 years that community tries to break RSA, and 20 years for AES.

Actors of standardization

• For cryptographic algorithm standardization: National Institute of Standards and Technology. Current competition, Post-Quantum cryptography.

- For internet protocols (TCP/IP in particular) standardization: Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF).
- For specific domains standardization: ISO.
 Example: ISO/IEC 29192 for IoT.

Construction of cryptographic algorithms: security properties

Standard security properties

- Privacy
- Integrity
- Authentication
- Non-repudiation

Privacy

If attacker intercept the ciphertext, them can't infer information about the message.



Standard security properties

- Privacy
- Integrity
- Authentication
- Non-repudiation

Integrity

If attacker modify the ciphertext, it can be detected by recipient.



Standard security properties

- Privacy
- Integrity
- Authentication
- Non-repudiation



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Authentication

If attacker try to impersonate someone, it can be detected by recipient.



Non-repudiation

If someone tries to hide its responsability, it can be detected by recipient.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Fundamental terms



- Plaintext : unencrypted message $m \in \mathcal{M}$
- Ciphertext : encrypted message $c \in C$
- Symmetric key : secret required to encrypt/decrypt $\textit{sk} \in \mathcal{K}$
- Keygen : generation of the secret *c* ← *Enc*(*sk*, *m*)
- Encryption : generation of the ciphertext from the plaintext c ← Enc(sk, m)
- Decryption : extraction of the plaintext from the ciphertext *m* ← *Dec*(*sk*, *c*)
- Secured channel : Channel for key exchange
- Unsecured channel : Channel to send/store data



Question

- Alice wants to send a message to its boyfriend Bob.
- She also wants that Bob can verify if the message is from Alice.
- We consider that the message is not intercepted by attacker.

Complete the figure: Place *m*, *c*, *Key* (public, private, ...), Alice and Bob.



Answer

- Alice wants to send a message to its boyfriend Bob.
- She also wants that Bob can verify if the message is from Alice.
- We consider that the message is not intercepted by attacker.

If Alice and Bob use a unique secret key, then they can verify the sender. This construction is known as Symmetric Encryption.



Question

- Alice wants to send a message to its boyfriend Bob.
- She also wants that Bob can verify if the message is from Alice.
- We consider that the message is not intercepted by attacker.

Complete the figure: Place m, c, Key (public, private, ...), Alice and Bob.



Answer

- Alice wants to send a message to its boyfriend Bob.
- She also wants that Bob can verify if the message is from Alice.
- We consider that the message is not intercepted by attacker.

Alice dont need some kind of authentication, so encryption key can be public. However decryption must remain secret. This construction is known as Asymmetric Encryption.



< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Question

If Encryption key is secret and Decryption key is public, what kind of construction is it?



Answer

In most cases, it's a building block for authentication protocols. Bob send a challenge to Alice. Alice encrypt the challenge with its secret key, and Bob verify the encryption using the Alice public key.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三■ - のへぐ

Functions and Algorithms



Functional notation

For function *f*, variable *x* in the field of definition of *f*, *y* is the unique output of *f* at point *x*. We note f(x) = y.

Deterministic Algorithms

Similarily to functions, a deterministic algorithm *A* have a unique output *y* for a given input *x*. We use the functional notation A(x) = y. Encrypt and Decrypt are deterministic algorithms (it is not always a desirable property, for example for replay). Consistancy: $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}, S_k \in \mathcal{K}, Dec(Enc(m, S_k), S_k) = m$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Functions and Algorithms



Functional notation

For function *f*, variable *x* in the field of definition of *f*, *y* is the unique output of *f* at point *x*. We note f(x) = y.

Randomized Algorithms

Randomized Algorithm *A* does not have a unique output for a given input *x*. All possible outputs is called a *Set* (S), and a probability is associated with each element of S (called distribution χ). A random source is mandatory for such algorithms.

Keygen is a Randomized Algorithm without a specific input. In practice, Keygen is implemented as a deterministic algorithm with at leat one random input.

Sets and distributions

Definitions

A distribution χ over a set *S* is a function that associate a probability for each element of S.

Draws

We note $x \stackrel{\chi}{\leftarrow} S$ or $x \leftarrow (S, \chi)$ the draw of an element of S following distribution χ

Notation can be simplified to $x \leftarrow S$ when:

- χ is uniform distribution;
- there is no ambiguity that *S* is associated with χ .

Usual distributions

- Uniform distribution U: Same probability for each element of the set;
- Normal distribution: a high probability of a specific output, then probability decreases when deviate from this output(also called gaussian distribution).

Design of a symmetric encryption algorithm

Notation

- Encryption of plaintext *m* : *c* ← *Enc*(*sk*, *m*)
- Decryption of ciphertext $c : m \leftarrow Dec(sk, c)$
- Consistancy : $\forall m, sk : Dec(sk, Enc(sk, m)) = \{m\}$
- Security : ciphertexts does not reveal information about plaintext or key

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Which encryption provides consistancy?

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{K} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 255\}$$

1. $Enc(k, m) = k + m, Dec(k, c) = c - k$
2. $Enc(k, m) = m, Dec(k, c) = c$
3. $Enc(k, m) = m[k], Dec(k, c) = c \times k$

Design of a symmetric encryption algorithm

Notation

- Encryption of plaintext *m* : *c* ← *Enc*(*sk*, *m*)
- Decryption of ciphertext $c : m \leftarrow Dec(sk, c)$
- Consistancy : $\forall m, sk : Dec(sk, Enc(sk, m)) = \{m\}$
- Security : ciphertexts does not reveal information about plaintext or key

Which encryption provides consistancy?

$$\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{K}=\{0,1,2,3,\ldots,255\}$$

- 1. Enc(k, m) = k + m, Dec(k, c) = c k
- 2. Enc(k, m) = m, Dec(k, c) = c
- 3. $Enc(k, m) = m [k], Dec(k, c) = c \times k$

Construction of first encryption algorithm

Properties of XOR

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

```
Notation

\oplus = XOR = "eXclusif-OR" = "Addition modulo 2":

0 \oplus 0 = 1 \oplus 1 = 0, 0 \oplus 1 = 1 \oplus 0 = 1

Quiz [2]: Value of x \oplus y \oplus x ?
```

1. 0

- 2. *x*
- 3. *y*
- 4. It depends on x

How to apply it in cryptography?

Properties of XOR

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

```
Notation

\oplus = XOR = "eXclusif-OR" = "Addition modulo 2":

0 \oplus 0 = 1 \oplus 1 = 0, 0 \oplus 1 = 1 \oplus 0 = 1

Quiz [2]: Value of x \oplus y \oplus x ?
```

1. 0

- 2. *x*
- 3. *y*
- 4. It depends on x

How to apply it in cryptography?

One Time Pad (Vernam, 1917)

 message ⊕ key = cipher
 cipher ⊕ key = message

 message :
 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1

 clé :
 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0

 message :
 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0

 message :
 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0

 clé :
 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1

Security - 1

Output distribution is uniform

$$\begin{array}{ll} P(c_i = 1) &= P(k_i = 1 \cap m_i = 0) + P(k_i = 0 \cap m_i = 1) \\ &= P(k_i = 1) * P(m_i = 0) + P(k_i = 0) * P(m_i = 1) \\ P(c_i = 1) &= 1/2 \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

One Time Pad (Vernam, 1917)

Security - 2

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

One Time Pad (Vernam, 1917)

Security - 2

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

One Time Pad (Vernam, 1917)

Security - 2

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Security - 2

Perfect secrecy definition

Perfect Secrecy (or information-theoretic secure) means that the ciphertext conveys no information about the content of the plaintext.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Question: How to achieve perfect secrecy?

For Y ciphertext observed by attacker and X associated plaintext

1.
$$\forall m, c : P[X = m | Y = c] = 1/|M|$$

2. $\forall m, c : P[X = m | Y = c] = P[X = m]$
3. $\forall m, c : P[X = m] = P[Y = c]$
4. $\forall m, c : P[X = m | Y = c] = 1/|K|$

Perfect secrecy definition

Perfect Secrecy (or information-theoretic secure) means that the ciphertext conveys no information about the content of the plaintext.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Question: How to achieve perfect secrecy?

For Y ciphertext observed by attacker and X associated plaintext

1.
$$\forall m, c : P[X = m | Y = c] = 1/|M|$$

2. $\forall m, c : P[X = m | Y = c] = P[X = m]$

3.
$$\forall m, c : P[X = m] = P[Y = c]$$

4.
$$\forall m, c : P[X = m | Y = c] = 1/|K|$$

Perfect secrecy definition

Perfect Secrecy (or information-theoretic secure) means that the ciphertext conveys no information about the content of the plaintext.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Does OTP achieve perfect secrecy?

$$\rightarrow P[A|B] = P[A \cap B]/P[B].$$

 $\rightarrow A, B$ independants $\Leftrightarrow P[A \cap B] = P[A] * P[B] \Leftrightarrow P[A|B] = P[A].$

 $\rightarrow P[B] = \sum P[A_i \cap B]$ for A_i a partition of Ω .

Perfect secrecy definition

Perfect Secrecy (or information-theoretic secure) means that the ciphertext conveys no information about the content of the plaintext.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Does OTP achieve perfect secrecy?

$$\rightarrow P[A|B] = P[A \cap B]/P[B].$$

 $\rightarrow A, B \text{ independants} \Leftrightarrow P[A \cap B] = P[A] * P[B] \Leftrightarrow P[A|B] = P[A].$

$$\rightarrow P[B] = \sum P[A_i \cap B]$$
 for A_i a partition of Ω .

1.
$$P[X = m | Y = c] = P[X = m \cap Y = c]/P[Y = c]$$

Perfect secrecy definition

Perfect Secrecy (or information-theoretic secure) means that the ciphertext conveys no information about the content of the plaintext.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Does OTP achieve perfect secrecy?

$$\rightarrow P[A|B] = P[A \cap B]/P[B].$$

 $\rightarrow A, B \text{ independants} \Leftrightarrow P[A \cap B] = P[A] * P[B] \Leftrightarrow P[A|B] = P[A].$

$$\rightarrow P[B] = \sum P[A_i \cap B]$$
 for A_i a partition of Ω .

1.
$$P[X = m | Y = c] = P[X = m \cap Y = c] / P[Y = c]$$

2.
$$P[Y = c] = \sum_{i \in M} P[X = i \cap Y = c]$$

Perfect secrecy definition

Perfect Secrecy (or information-theoretic secure) means that the ciphertext conveys no information about the content of the plaintext.

Does OTP achieve perfect secrecy?

$$\rightarrow P[A|B] = P[A \cap B]/P[B].$$

$$\rightarrow A, B \text{ independants} \Leftrightarrow P[A \cap B] = P[A] * P[B] \Leftrightarrow P[A|B] = P[A].$$

$$\rightarrow P[B] = \sum P[A_i \cap B] \text{ for } A_i \text{ a partition of } \Omega.$$

$$1. P[X = m|Y = c] = P[X = m \cap Y = c]/P[Y = c]$$

$$2. P[Y = c] = \sum_{i \in M} P[X = i \cap Y = c]$$

$$3. P[Y = c] = \sum_{i \in M} P[X = i \cap K = c \oplus i] = \sum_{i \in M} P[X = i]/|K| = 1/|K|$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Perfect secrecy definition

Perfect Secrecy (or information-theoretic secure) means that the ciphertext conveys no information about the content of the plaintext.

Does OTP achieve perfect secrecy?

$$\rightarrow P[A|B] = P[A \cap B]/P[B].$$

$$\rightarrow A, B \text{ independants} \Leftrightarrow P[A \cap B] = P[A] * P[B] \Leftrightarrow P[A|B] = P[A].$$

$$\rightarrow P[B] = \sum P[A_i \cap B] \text{ for } A_i \text{ a partition of } \Omega.$$

$$1. P[X = m|Y = c] = P[X = m \cap Y = c]/P[Y = c]$$

$$2. P[Y = c] = \sum_{i \in M} P[X = i \cap Y = c]$$

$$3. P[Y = c] = \sum_{i \in M} P[X = i \cap K = c \oplus i] = \sum_{i \in M} P[X = i]/|K|$$

$$4. P[X = m \cap Y = c] = P[X = m \cap K = c \oplus m] = P[X = m] * 1/|K|$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Perfect secrecy definition

Perfect Secrecy (or information-theoretic secure) means that the ciphertext conveys no information about the content of the plaintext.

Does OTP achieve perfect secrecy?

$$\rightarrow P[A|B] = P[A \cap B]/P[B].$$

$$\rightarrow A, B \text{ independants} \Leftrightarrow P[A \cap B] = P[A] * P[B] \Leftrightarrow P[A|B] = P[A].$$

$$\rightarrow P[B] = \sum P[A_i \cap B] \text{ for } A_i \text{ a partition of } \Omega.$$

$$1. P[X = m|Y = c] = P[X = m \cap Y = c]/P[Y = c]$$

$$2. P[Y = c] = \sum_{i \in M} P[X = i \cap Y = c]$$

$$3. P[Y = c] = \sum_{i \in M} P[X = i \cap K = c \oplus i] = \sum_{i \in M} P[X = i]/|K| = 1/|K|$$

$$4. P[X = m \cap Y = c] = P[X = m \cap K = c \oplus m] = P[X = m] * 1/|K|$$

$$5. P[X = m|Y = c] = P[X = m \cap Y = c]/P[Y = c]$$

Is OTP a perfect solution?

Perfect secrecy ... but not practical

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Maleability

If an attacker intercepts ciphertext *c* of a message *m* and computes $c' = c \oplus x$ then *c'* is a valid ciphertext of message $m' = m \oplus x$

Key management

Key cant be used twice!!

 $c_1 \oplus c_2 = (m_1 \oplus k) \oplus (m_2 \oplus k) = m_1 \oplus m_2$

Bad news

Shanon theorem

If encryption algorithm achieves perfect secrecy, then $|K| \ge |M|$

Proof

Proof by contradiction: we consider |K| < |M| and an attacker studies Y = cWhen trying brute force by testing all possible keys, $M_0 = \bigcup_{k \in K} Dec(k, c) \implies |M_0| < |M|$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 \Rightarrow for $m \notin M_0$, $P[X = m \mid Y = c] = 0$ event if P[X = m] = 1/|M|

OTP: Maleability

Context

Alice and Bob agree on a key, they want to send a "Y" or "N" message (i.e. yes or no) using OTP. Eve intercept ciphertext *c* and tries to switch message from "Y" to "N" and vice versa. Feasible?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三■ - のへぐ

OTP: Maleability

Context

Alice and Bob agree on a key, they want to send a "Y" or "N" message (i.e. yes or no) using OTP. Eve intercept ciphertext *c* and tries to switch message from "Y" to "N" and vice versa. Feasible?

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Solution

 $c'=c\oplus(``Y"\oplus``N")$

OTP: Secret sharing

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Sharing with two people

Alice knows a secret $x \in M$ that allow to launch a nuclear missile. She generate $y \stackrel{U}{\leftarrow} M$ et provides:

- $x \oplus y$ to her mom
- y to her dad

Mom et dad can't make a nuclear war individually.

Which of these assertions are true?

- 1. y is uniformly distributed over M
- 2. $x \oplus y$ is uniformly distributed over *M*
- 3. $(y, x \oplus y)$ is uniformly distributed over $M \times M$

OTP: Secret sharing

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Sharing with two people

Alice knows a secret $x \in M$ that allow to launch a nuclear missile. She generate $y \stackrel{U}{\leftarrow} M$ et provides:

- $x \oplus y$ to her mom
- y to her dad

Mom et dad can't make a nuclear war individually.

Which of these assertions are true?

- 1. y is uniformly distributed over M
- 2. $x \oplus y$ is uniformly distributed over *M*
- 3. $(y, x \oplus y)$ is uniformly distributed over $M \times M$



From unpractical OTP to practical encryption



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Question

Which of these encryption algorithms vulnerable to frequency analysis?

- Caesar cipher.
- Vigenère cipher.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Question

Which of these encryption algorithms vulnerable to frequency analysis?

- Caesar cipher.
- Vigenère cipher.

Answer Caesar code.

Question

What are the two main turning points in cryptography?



Question

What are the two main turning points in cryptography?

Answer

• Kerckhoffs: From AD-HOC solutions to mathematically-based solutions.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

• Industrialization of calculators.

Question

In which context this construction may be used?



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Question

In which context this construction may be used?



Answer

For authentication. *m* should be provided by Bob in practice, also called challenge.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

References

Electronic Books:

Cornell, https://www.cs.cornell.edu/courses/cs4830/2010fa/lecnotes.pdf Bristol, http://www.cs.umd.edu/~waa/414-F11/IntroToCrypto.pdf Stanford, http://crypto.stanford.edu/~dabo/cryptobook/

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Online courses:

[1] Stanford, https://www.coursera.org/course/crypto[2] Univ. of Virginia, http://www.udacity.com/view#Course/cs387/